1 may 3050

DENORANDUM FOR: DIPECTOR, JOINT SUBSIDIARY PLANT DIVISION

JOINT CHIEFS OF PAFF

SUBJECT:

Comments on Braft Hemorendum for Director,

Joint Staff

1. I understand that the comments of OPC on the draft memorandum have been furnished you direct.

2. There are attached herewith my comments, principally as relating to 050.

> 151 the Zk in sealed ent 7/6/50

N. H. HILLSEWOTTER Roar Admiral, USA Director of Central Intelligence

Enclosure - one cy oney

DCI:b Distr\*

Orig&l-addressee

1-OGC w/cy evel

1-OPC

1-DCI 1-050

DOCUMENT NO. .

NO CHANGE IN CLASS. DECLASSIFIED

CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS SO 2011 REXT REVIEW LANCE

AUTIL: HR 16-2

DATE: 18 MAR REVIEWERL


25X1

re court Support Penetine A/C operations.

25X1

## Comment on Control:

Paragraph 3 of draft memorandum clearly raises the issue of the difference between the nature and purpose of OPC and OSO operations. OPC is the clandestine tool of the foreign policy arencies of the Government. It must, therefore, seek and accept policy guidance from such agencies, in this case, State and the Joint Chiefs, as directed in MSC 10/2. OSO is a clandestine intelligence collection and counter-espicaage activity which is not and should not be subject to outside policy control and which has operational security considerations of the most serious type. It is now, I believe, almost axiomatic that all clandestine activities of the Government must have central coordination and control. The MGC has placed this control function in CIA, subject to the "policy guidance" noted above for OPC. It is my feeling that policy guidance does not necessarily infer any operational control and that the de roe of coordination and acceptance of outside operational control depends on the nature of the operation as determined by GIA.



Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt